



Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,		4	\mathbb{O}
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.			
09/314,058	05/18/99	LEMAN			М	MIC	E-0026-US	
Г				_		EXAM	IINER	
·		WMO	2/0724	•	•			
COE F MILES				,	FISEN	Δ		
TROP PRUNER	HU & MILES	PC			ART UN	т	PAPER NUMBER	
8554 KATY FREEWAY								
STE 100					2674		(0
HOUSTON TX 7	7024				DATE MAILE	D:		
						07.	/24/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

45	Application No.	plicant(s)							
Makipany Aption	09/314,058	LEMAN, MICHAEL V.							
*Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Alexander Eisen	2674							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 09 July 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.									
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.									
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:									
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);									
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);									
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or									
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:									
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):								
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).									
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.									
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.									
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.									
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:									
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: 8-20.									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>21-25</u> .									
The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
D. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)									
10. Other:									
		HARD HJERPE							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

TECHNOLOGY CERTER ESON No. 8



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant argues that patent application provides the teaching that pen-type input device may provide capabilities such as "hand writing recognition" to support the added limitation to independent claim 8 "adapted ... to at least handwriting recognition". That limitation was added to overcome rejections of the previous Office Action, but the only place where the disclosure teaches this is the "Background", which makes this limitation an admitted prior art. Regarding the other independent claim, which has a negative limitation "display is not capable of receiving an input from a pen-type input device", the phrase in the disclosure that "system 100 may be configured such that the first display 106 functions as a conventional display" does not provide basis to aforementioned negative limitation. It should be noted also, that a conventional computer display, which applicant refers to as a raster type of a display, is usually easily adapted to a pen-type input device due to their rasterized imaging.